United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITE V.	ED ST	TATES OF AMERICA	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Lucio Esquivel			Case Number: 1:07-mj-00049	
facts re	In a equire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 e the detention of the defendant pending trial in this	142(f), a detention hearing	
	(1)	Part I – I The defendant is charged with an offense describ offense state or local offense that would have jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § an offense for which the maximum sentence an offense for which a maximum term of impli	been a federal offense if a 3156(a)(4). is life imprisonment or dea	circumstance giving rise to federal
	(3)	A felony that was committed after the defending 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparate The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed imprisonment for the offense described in finding Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person rebutted this presumption.	ole state or local offenses. It while the defendant was since the date of conviction (1). It is presumption that no control of the presumption that no control of the state	tion release pending trial for a federal, state
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defending for which a maximum term of imprisonment of		
	(2)	☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption will reasonably assure the appearance of the defe		
\boxtimes	(1) (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not		r person or the community.
	l fir	Part II – Written Staten and that the credible testimony and information subm		
2. I	Defen	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to condant is subject to an ICE detainer, and would not be adant reserved the right to bring the issue of his con	e released in any case.	
appeal the Un	tions f . The ited S	Part III – Direction e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorion facility separate, to the extent practicable, from perse defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportule of the Government of the United States marshal for the purpose of an approximate the control of the states marshal for the purpose of an approximate the control of the states marshal for the purpose of an approximate the control of the states marshal for the purpose of an approximate the control of the states marshal for the states are stated to the custom the states are stated to the custom the state of the states are stated to the custom the state of the states are stated to the stat	sons awaiting or serving senity for private consultation ent, the person in charge of	ated representative for confinement in a entences or being held in custody pending with defense counsel. On order of a court of the corrections facility shall deliver the
August 31, 2007			/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
Date			Signature of Judge	
			Ellen S. Carmody, United	States Magistrate Judge
			Name and Title of Judge	